



Attorney Docket: 060258-0290575
Client Reference: T200034US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of:
ARI HOTTINEN

Confirmation Number: 7322

Application No.: 10/026,944

Group Art Unit: 2618

Filed: December 27, 2001

Examiner: DEAN, Raymond S.

Title: METHOD AND ARRANGEMENT FOR IMPLEMENTING POWER CONTROL

RESPONSE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the Office Action dated May 4, 2006, the period for reply being extended by the Petition for Three-Month Extension of Time filed herewith, reconsideration of the patentability of the pending claims is requested in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1-10, 18, 22-31, 34, and 37-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Muller (U.S. Patent No. 6,490,461) in view of Rick (U.S. Application No. 2002/0057748), claims 11 and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muller and Rick in view of Tong et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,311,070; hereafter "Tong"), claims 15 and 35 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muller and Rick in view of Mitra et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,732,328; hereafter "Mitra"), claims 16-17 and 36 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muller and Rick in view of Denkert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,374,117; hereafter "Denkert"), claims 19-20 and 39-40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muller and Rick in view of Shah (U.S. Patent No. 6,167,259), and claims 21 and 41 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muller and Rick in view of Gatherer et al. (U.S. Application No. 2002/0115463; hereafter "Gatherer").

Applicant traverses all the prior art rejections because Muller, analyzed individually or in combination with the other cited prior art references, fails to disclose, teach or suggest